

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

RONNIE MORENO,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:16-cr-00032-SLG-KFR

**ORDER RE FINAL REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

Before the Court at Docket 200 is Mr. Moreno's *Motion for Detention Review*. The motion was referred to the Honorable Magistrate Judge Kyle F. Reardon. At Docket 204, Judge Reardon issued his *Report and Recommendation on Defendant's Motion for Detention Review*, in which he recommended that the motion be dismissed without prejudice. No objections to the Report and Recommendation were filed.

The matter is now before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). That statute provides that a district court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge."<sup>1</sup> A court is to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the magistrate judge's report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made."<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> *Id.*

However, § 636(b)(1) does not “require district court review of a magistrate’s factual or legal conclusions, under a *de novo* or any other standard, when neither party objects to those findings.”<sup>3</sup>

The magistrate judge recommended that the Court dismiss without prejudice the *Motion for Detention Review*. The Court has reviewed the *Report and Recommendation* and agrees with its analysis. Accordingly, the Court adopts the *Report and Recommendation*, and IT IS ORDERED that the *Motion for Detention Review* is DISMISSED without prejudice.

DATED this 13th day of November, 2023, at Anchorage, Alaska.

/s/ Sharon L. Gleason  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

---

<sup>3</sup> *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985); see also *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003).